Joshua L. Hedlund BOP Register # 98166-111 Federal Correctional Institution P.O. Box 1000 Sandstone, MN 55072

FILED

JAN 2 5 2011

CLERK United States Bankryetey Caurt San Jose, California

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Joshua L. Hedlund,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

John E. Fetzer & Placer Foreclosure]

Defendant(s).

COMPLAINT FOR RECOVERY OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 548 AND 549 WILLFUL VIOLATION OF AUTOMATIC STAY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362

Plaintiff JOSHUA L. HEDLUND, acting Pro Se in this matter before the Court, (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), represents and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334 and 28 U.S.C. § 157. This adversary proceeding is related to the above-captioned bankruptcy case entitled <u>In re Joshua L. Hedlund</u>, Chapter 7 Case # 09-57539 ASW, Nothern District of California, San Jose Division.
- 2. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).
- 3. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409.

BACKGROUND AND PARTIES

4. A voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code was filed for

- 1 -

 5. John W. Richardson (hereinafter "Trustee") is the chapter 7 trustee in this case. Charles Maher is the attorney for the Trustee.

- 6. John E. Fetzer is a lender with a secured lien against 1777 Sprowell Creek Road, Garberville, California. Placer Foreclosure is the foreclosure company that is employed by John E. Fetzer to foreclose upon 1777 Sprowell Creek ("Property").
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes that soon after March 12, 2010 the Trustee instructed all Humboldt County Title companies to not insure the Property without Bankruptcy Court approval.
- 8. H & H Capital Management, LLC is a California Limited Liability company that Plaintiff has a partnership interest in. H & H Capital Management, LLC held title to the Property until November 18, 2010. (hereinafter "H & H").
- 9. On or about July 16, 2010 Trustee filed a Motion for Substantive Consolidation in an attempt to consolidate the assets of the entities which were related to Plaintiff into this bankruptcy case, including the assets of H & H.
- 10. On or about August 5, 2010 Trustee was informed by the Plaintiff that he had the ability to sell or refinance the Property but that he was prohibited by Trustee.
- 11. On or about August 19, 2010 Plaintiff filed an Opposition to the Motion for Substantive Consolidation.
- 12. On or about August 26, 2010 Plaintiff asked the Court to allow the refinance or sale of the Property. The Court ordered that this was not to occur until the Motion for Substantive Consolidation was heard.
- 13. On or about October 27, 2010 the Court determined that there was substantial equity in the Property. John E. Fetzer and Placer Foreclosure were restrained from continuing with the foreclosure proceedings against the Property effective through November 29, 2010. This was regarding Adversary Proceeding No. 10-5116.

- 2 -

 14. On or about November 16, 2010 Plaintiff received a Notice of Dismissal of Adversary Proceeding No. 10-5116. This Notice was electronically filed November 11, 2010. The dismissal was without prejudice to actions the Debtor may take.

- 15. On or about November 16, 2010 Plaintiff was informed that the Property was scheduled to be foreclosed upon on November 19, 2010.
- 16. On or about November 18, 2010 Plaintiff was granted Fee Simple title to the Property from H & H. This transfer was through a partnership distribution from H & H for pre-petition business Plaintiff conducted for H & H.
- 17. On or about November 18, 2010 Plaintiff received the Property because of actions taken by the Debtor/Plaintiff during prebankruptcy.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that he had equitable title of the Property for the duration of the bankruptcy case and before.
- 19. On or about November 18, 2010 Plaintiff amended Bankruptcy Schedules A and D to reflect his ownership of the Property.
- 20. On or about November 18, 2010 Plaintiff informed Trustee of the Amended Schedules and that Fee Simple legal Title had been conveyed by H & H to Plaintiff.
- 21. On or about November 18, 2010 Plainitff is informed and believes that John E. Fetzer and Placer Foreclosure were informed of the conveyance of the Property to Plaintiff and the amended Bankruptcy Schedules.
- 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that John E. Fetzer and Placer Foreclosure willfully violated the automatic stay of bankruptcy and foreclosed upon the Property on or about November 19, 2010.
- 23. On or about November 22, 2010 Trustee dismissed the Motion for Substantive Consolidation.
- 24. On or about December 7, 2010 Plaintiff was informed that the Property had been foreclosed upon and that legal title had been transferred to John E. Fetzer.
- 25. On or about December 7, 2010 Plaintiff informed Trustee of foreclosure sale.

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

25

24

26 27

28

26.	Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that to date Truste
has	improperly failed to bring an action regarding the Property and appears to have
abar	ndoned the Property to Debtor in detriment to Unsecured and Secured Creditors

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the reasonable business judgement requires pursuing a claim against John E. Fetzer and Placer Foreclosure to protect the interest of Debtor, Unsecured Creditors, and Secured Creditors in this bankruptcy case.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Fraudulent Transfers Pursuant to 30 11 U.S.C. § 548

- Plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraph 1 28. through 27 inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that the foreclosure sale of the Property 29. failed to comply with all governing state laws.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the Debtor received less than a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Fraudulent Transfers.
- The Fraudulent Transfers can be avoided pursuant to 11 U.S.C § 548

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Post Petition Transfer not Authorized by Court in Violation of 11 U.S.C. \$ 549

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraph 1 through 31 inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants John E. Fetzer and Placer 33. Foreclosure were not authorized by the Court to transfer the Property at the foreclosure sale.
- 34. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants John E. Fetzer and Placer Foreclosure conducted the foreclosure sale in violation of the automatic stay.
- 35. The Post Petition Transfer is voidable under 11 U.S.C. § 549

6

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23²

25

26

27

28

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

To Recover Avoided Transfers Against Defendants For the Benefit of the Estate under 11 U.S.C. § 550

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraph 1 through 35 inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. The Fraudulent Transfers, to the extent that they are avoided pursuant to
- 11 U.S.C. § 548, may be recovered by Plaintiff pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550
- 38. The Fraudulent Transfers, to the extent that they are avoided pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 549, may be recovered by Plaintiff pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Willful Violation of Automatic Stay under 11 U.S.C. §362

- 39. Plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraph 1 through 38 inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 40. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants John E. Fetzer and Placer Foreclosure action which violated automatic stay were intentional. That they acted deliberately and with knowledge of the amended schedules of the bankruptcy petition and that the foreclosure sale was intentional misconduct and was a willful violation of the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- 1. That the foreclosure sale of the Property be voided; and
- 2. That Fee Simple Title be transferred back to the Plaintiff; and
- 3. An award of actual damages, costs incurred, and attorney fees; and
- 4. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary and proper.

Dated: January 18, 2011

JOSHUA L. HEDLUND,

Debtor

Joshua L. Hedlund

Pro Se

Joshua L. Hedlund |BOP Register #98166-111 Federal Correctional Institution P.O. Box 1000 Sandstone, MN, 55072 3 JAN 2 5 2011 Pro Se CLERK United States Bankruptcy Court бан дөөв, башеныа UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA In re Case No. 09-57539-ASW .8 JOSHUA L. HEDLUND Chapter 7 ġ Debtor. 10 11 12 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 13 I, the undersigned, state that I am a citizen of the United States, that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action; that my address is Federal Correctional Institution, P.O. Box 1000, Sandstone, Minnesota, thaton the date set out below, I served a copy of the attached document: Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet: 11 U.S.C. §§§§ 548,549,550,362 Complaint for Recovery of Property & Willful Violation of Automatic Stay 17 upon each party listed below with a mailing address by placing such a copy, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with prepaid postage thereon, in the United, States mail at Sandstone, Minnesota, addressed to each party with a mailing address listed below. 20 Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court 280 South First Street Room 3035 United States Trustee 21 280 South First Street #268 San Jose, California 95113 San Jose, California 95113 22 Charles P. Maher Placer Foreclosure 23 LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS, LLP 12190 Herdal Drive #9 121 Spear Street, Suite 200 Auburn, CA 95603 24 San Francisco, CA 94105 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Sandstone, Minnesota on January 18, 2011. Fred Kaiser Attorney at Law By: 865 University Ave Donald Roth Sacramento, CA 95825

25

26

.27

28